HISTORIA
Jurnal Pendidikan Sejarah

Vol.1No.1 Bulan 2026 Hal.85-96
ISSN (online) : 3163-2866
DOI : xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
https://journal.cerdasnusantara.org/index.php/historia

Article History
Submitted: 20 June 2026
Accepted: 16 September 2026
Published: 1 December 2026

THE ROLE OF SOCIAL CAPITAL IN COMMUNITY RESILIENCE TO NATURAL DISASTERS

Fayyaza Saputri Cosario 1

[1] History Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Education and Educational Sciences, University of Lampung

fayyazasaputri@gmail.com[1]
© 2026 The Authors, Historia Journal of History Education

Keywords:
1. Social capital
2. Community resilience
3. Natural disasters
4. Social trust
5. Social norms

Abstract

This study examines the role of social capital in strengthening community resilience to natural disasters. Social capital which includes bonding, bridging, and linking serves as the foundation for building solidarity, cooperation, and community connections with external institutions. In addition to social networks, trust and collective norms, such as mutual aid and active participation, play a crucial role in enhancing preparedness, collective response, and post-disaster recovery. This study employs a library research method by analyzing various relevant scientific journal articles to identify patterns in the utilization of social capital, the influence of trust and social norms, and their implications for disaster mitigation and recovery. The findings indicate that communities with strong social capital have greater capacity to plan mitigation efforts, organize self-directed evacuations, mobilize both internal and external resources, and accelerate post-disaster social and economic rehabilitation. These findings underscore that social capital is not only a social asset but also a strategic tool for reducing community vulnerability and supporting sustainable development. Therefore, strengthening social networks, trust among residents, and collective norms must be integrated into disaster mitigation strategies in Indonesia to foster resilient, adaptive, and sustainable communities.

This is an open access article under the CC-BY-SA (Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License) license.

A. INTRODUCTION

Community resilience to natural disasters is the collective ability of a community to respond, face, and recover from various forms of disaster threats such as floods, earthquakes, tsunamis, or volcanic eruptions. This resilience is not only based on physical resources, but also on the strength of the social structure owned by the community. One of the important components of the social structure is social capital, which includes social networks, trust between community members, mutual cooperation norms, and the value of

mutual help in crisis situations. The concept of social capital has been recognized in sociological studies as a significant factor in supporting the collective function of society in difficult situations (Putnam, 2000).

Social capital is a resource created from productive interpersonal relationships and allows individuals and groups to work together for a common goal. In the context of natural disasters, social capital occupies a strategic role because its existence allows communities to take collective action in mitigating risks, as well as accelerating the post-disaster recovery process (Norzistya & Handayani, 2025). The results of research on social capital in community resilience show that a strong social relationship structure can help communities access important information, build solidarity, and plan joint strategies in dealing with disasters.

Several empirical studies confirm that strong social relationships in the form of bonding and networks that cross groups (bridging) contribute to improving community preparedness before disasters occur. For example, communities in flood-prone areas in Semarang City show that coordination between residents and intensive communication between stake holders help strengthen social resilience in the region (Norzistya & Handayani, 2025). This shows that social capital is not only a social network, but also the ability of communities to take joint action when disasters strike.

In addition to the aspect of social relations, social capital also includes social trust, which is the belief that community members will help and support each other in crisis situations. This kind of trust is often reflected in the rapid response to disaster early warnings or in the equitable distribution of aid among citizens. Research on psychological social capital in the Balerante community shows that emotional support, social cohesion, and active involvement of community members have been shown to play a role in the development of social capital an important role in increasing community resilience in the face of the Merapi disaster (Ferdinanto & Triyono, 2025).

The role of social capital is also not limited to pre-disaster conditions, but is highly influential in the emergency response and post-disaster recovery phases. Another study found that communities with strong social networks tend to be faster in mobilizing resources, distributing aid, and minimizing the social and economic impact of disasters (Purwantiningsih & Puryanto, 2024). When normal conditions turn into crises, social capital helps communities organize joint efforts towards re-stabilizing the socio-economic functions of communities.

Furthermore, social capital can also play a role in long-term disaster mitigation planning, not just post-disaster response. The relationship between social capital and risk management strategies suggests that communities with high levels of trust and cooperation have a greater tendency to engage in sustainable disaster mitigation planning and preparedness programs (Bernita, 2024). This engagement, in turn, helps communities minimize vulnerability and increase their capacity to adapt to environmental changes or evolving disaster risks.

The aforementioned phenomenon demonstrates that social capital encompasses more than merely positive social relationships among individuals; it also involves shared norms, values, trust, reciprocity, and collective practices that are deeply internalized in everyday social life. Social capital functions as an essential foundation for strengthening interactions, facilitating collective action, and developing a sense of shared responsibility within communities. In the Indonesian context, cultural values and social practices such as gotong royong (mutual cooperation), solidarity, reciprocity, and the habit of sharing information represent important dimensions of social capital that contribute to community preparedness and disaster response. These values encourage individuals to support one another, exchange resources, and coordinate collective actions when facing uncertain and potentially life-threatening situations. As Putnam (2000) emphasizes, social networks, norms of reciprocity, and trust facilitate coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit. Consequently, communities with strong social capital tend to possess greater capacity to mobilize collective resources, distribute information, identify vulnerable groups, and organize emergency responses more effectively. Furthermore, these social mechanisms contribute not only to immediate disaster response but also to long-term recovery processes, including the restoration of social relationships, economic activities, and community institutions.

In disaster-prone regions, the existence of strong social capital becomes particularly significant because communities are often required to respond rapidly to changing environmental conditions while facing limitations in infrastructure, resources, and institutional support. Bonding social capital strengthens solidarity among individuals within similar social groups, while bridging social capital facilitates cooperation across different communities, social backgrounds, and organizations. Meanwhile, linking social capital enables communities to establish relationships with institutions possessing greater authority, resources, and decision-making capacities, including government agencies,

humanitarian organizations, and disaster management institutions. The interaction among these three dimensions creates opportunities for more inclusive, coordinated, and sustainable disaster management. Moreover, social trust and collective norms can strengthen public participation in disaster risk reduction activities, including community-based early warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency resource management, and post-disaster rehabilitation. Therefore, social capital should be understood not merely as a supporting social condition but as a strategic resource that enhances adaptive capacity and collective resilience.

Based on this perspective, examining the role of social capital in strengthening community resilience to natural disasters is essential for understanding how social relationships, institutional connections, and collective community structures can be effectively mobilized to reduce disaster vulnerability. Such an investigation is particularly relevant in Indonesia, where geographical conditions and environmental characteristics expose numerous communities to various natural hazards. Understanding the mechanisms through which bonding, bridging, and linking social capital operate can provide a more comprehensive explanation of differences in community preparedness, response, adaptation, and recovery capacities. Furthermore, this research offers theoretical contributions to the development of social capital studies, disaster sociology, and community development by positioning social relationships as fundamental components of disaster resilience. From a practical perspective, the findings can inform the formulation of community-based disaster risk reduction policies, the development of participatory preparedness programs, and the strengthening of collaboration between local communities and external institutions. Ultimately, integrating social capital into disaster management strategies is expected to promote communities that are not only capable of responding effectively to disasters but also resilient, adaptive, and sustainable in facing future environmental challenges.

Furthermore, the development of this discussion must be supported by relevant scientific literature, accurate theoretical interpretations, and appropriate academic writing conventions. The use of credible references and consistent citation practices is essential to ensure the validity of the arguments and maintain the scientific quality of the article.

B. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a library research approach to examine the role of social capital in strengthening community resilience to natural disasters. Library research is considered appropriate because the study focuses on systematically collecting, reviewing, analyzing, and synthesizing information from existing scholarly literature and relevant documentary sources. Rather than conducting direct field observations or collecting primary data from disaster-affected communities, this research utilizes secondary data to develop a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between social capital and community resilience. This approach enables the researcher to explore various theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and conceptual developments concerning social capital, disaster risk reduction, community participation, and sustainable recovery.

The data sources consist of scientific journal articles, academic books, research reports, conference proceedings, and official government publications relevant to the research topic. Particular attention is given to literature discussing the theoretical foundations of social capital, including bonding, bridging, and linking social capital, as well as the dimensions of social trust, reciprocity, social norms, and community networks. In addition, relevant publications concerning disaster preparedness, emergency response, community adaptation, vulnerability reduction, and post-disaster recovery are examined to establish a broader analytical framework. The literature also includes studies conducted in Indonesia and other countries to provide comparative perspectives on how social capital operates within different geographical, cultural, institutional, and socioeconomic contexts. Foundational theoretical works, including Putnam (2000), are incorporated alongside relevant contemporary studies to connect established concepts with recent developments in disaster resilience research.

The literature collection process involves identifying, selecting, and reviewing publications based on their relevance to the research objectives. The selection of literature considers several aspects, including the credibility of the publication source, its theoretical contribution, methodological relevance, and its relationship to the central research themes. Sources that provide substantive discussions of social capital and its contribution to disaster resilience are prioritized. Furthermore, the reviewed literature is organized according to several analytical categories, namely social networks, social trust, collective norms, community participation, institutional collaboration, disaster preparedness, emergency response, and post-disaster recovery. This categorization facilitates a

structured examination of the relationship between the different dimensions of social capital and the various stages of disaster management.

Data analysis is conducted using a qualitative descriptive and analytical approach through content analysis and thematic synthesis. Content analysis is employed to examine the concepts, arguments, and findings presented in the selected literature, while thematic synthesis is used to identify recurring patterns, similarities, differences, and relationships across the reviewed studies. The analysis focuses particularly on three dimensions of social capital: bonding, bridging, and linking. Bonding social capital is examined in relation to solidarity, mutual assistance, and trust among individuals within relatively close social groups. Bridging social capital is analyzed through relationships and cooperation across different social groups and community networks. Meanwhile, linking social capital is explored in terms of connections between local communities and external institutions, including government agencies, humanitarian organizations, and disaster management authorities.

The analytical process is further directed toward understanding how these three dimensions contribute to community resilience throughout the disaster management cycle. In the preparedness stage, the analysis examines the role of social networks, information exchange, collective awareness, and community participation in developing disaster preparedness strategies. During the emergency response stage, particular attention is given to mutual assistance, collective decision-making, resource mobilization, and coordination among community members and external institutions. In the post-disaster recovery stage, the analysis explores how social trust, reciprocal relationships, institutional cooperation, and collective initiatives support social rehabilitation, economic recovery, and the restoration of community life.

To strengthen the consistency and credibility of the analysis, findings from different literature sources are compared and interpreted by considering their theoretical foundations, research contexts, and empirical evidence. This process helps identify convergent findings, contrasting perspectives, and research gaps concerning the contribution of social capital to community resilience. The synthesis of these findings is subsequently used to formulate an integrated understanding of how social capital functions as a strategic resource in reducing disaster vulnerability and strengthening communities' adaptive and recovery capacities.

The library research approach was selected because the study is descriptive and analytical in nature, emphasizing an in-depth examination of existing knowledge rather than primary data collection through fieldwork. By integrating theoretical perspectives and empirical findings from credible academic publications and relevant institutional documents, this research seeks to provide a comprehensive explanation of the mechanisms through which social capital strengthens community resilience to natural disasters. Ultimately, the methodological approach is expected to contribute to the development of a conceptual understanding that can inform community-based disaster risk reduction strategies, participatory disaster management policies, and sustainable community development initiatives (Putnam, 2000; Norzistya & Handayani, 2025).

C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. The Role of Social Trust and Social Norms in Community Resilience

The role of social trust and social norms in strengthening community resilience to natural disasters represents a fundamental element in reinforcing relationships among community members and promoting effective collective action throughout all phases of disaster management. Social trust refers to the belief that members of a community will support one another, cooperate, and share resources during times of crisis, thereby fostering a sense of collective responsibility. Meanwhile, social norms encompass unwritten rules and shared expectations, such as mutual assistance, gotong royong (mutual cooperation), and participation in collective activities, which are deeply embedded in community culture. Empirical studies have demonstrated that social trust and norms significantly influence a community's adaptive capacity in responding to disaster conditions (Baharuddin, 2023).

Sociological studies indicate that trust among community members creates a sense of security and facilitates informal communication, which is essential for making rapid decisions during disasters. For example, a study conducted in the coastal areas of Pontianak found that high levels of interpersonal trust were strongly associated with efficient collective responses to tidal flooding. Community members actively shared preparedness information and simultaneously implemented minor mitigation measures, such as strengthening houses and coordinating assistance (Baharuddin, 2023). This condition demonstrates that social trust not only enhances disaster preparedness but also facilitates practical collective action at the community level during disaster events.

In addition to social trust, strong social norms have also been demonstrated to play an important role in strengthening community resilience. Norms such as gotong royong, solidarity, and active participation in community activities serve as practical mechanisms for distributing assistance, restoring local infrastructure, and providing psychological support to disaster victims. In the context of the tsunami affecting communities in Banten, social capital manifested through cooperative norms contributed to the mobilization of local volunteers to clear post-disaster debris and reconstruct public facilities, although coordination challenges persisted among certain groups (Kurnia & Pandjaitan, 2021).

The importance of social trust and social norms is further emphasized by anthropological studies illustrating how cultural and religious values serve as sources of social capital that strengthen community solidarity. Research conducted in Banda Aceh found that religious norms, including patience, sincerity, and mutual assistance, which are transmitted through families and religious leaders, strengthen social bonds and enhance community resilience in responding to various natural disasters that frequently affect the region (Kasim et al., 2021).

Other studies have also demonstrated that inclusive norms and the culture of gotong royong encourage the development of strong social networks, which subsequently facilitate collective action in disaster mitigation and recovery. For instance, research conducted in schools in Yogyakarta revealed that strong collaboration and social norms between schools and surrounding communities enhanced school resilience through joint mitigation activities and the involvement of students and teachers in disaster preparedness exercises (Astuti et al., 2017).

B. Patterns of Social Capital in Community Resilience

The literature review indicates that social capital has complex and dynamic dimensions in strengthening community resilience to natural disasters, particularly through interconnected social networks and the collective capacities of communities. Social capital is generally categorized into three main components: bonding, bridging, and linking social capital. Bonding social capital reflects strong relationships among community members who share similar backgrounds, such as family members, neighbors, or customary groups. Bridging social capital involves relationships between groups with different social backgrounds, while linking social capital encompasses connections between communities and external institutions, such as government agencies and non-governmental

organizations. These three forms of social capital enable communities not only to withstand the impacts of disasters but also to accelerate adaptation and recovery processes (Aldrich & Meyer, 2020).

The utilization of social capital in strengthening community resilience is characterized by collective actions that emerge either spontaneously or through organized initiatives during disaster events. In the communities of Kemijen and Krobokan Urban Villages in Semarang City, research has found that communities with strong social networks are capable of organizing independent evacuations, preparing emergency logistics, and providing emotional support to affected members, thereby making emergency response processes faster and more effective (Norzistya & Handayani, 2025). Furthermore, traditional social interactions, such as community deliberations, gotong royong, and the involvement of local volunteers in responding to flood threats, demonstrate that social capital strengthens collective awareness of disaster risks while providing practical mechanisms for mobilizing resources at the community level.

Other literature emphasizes that social capital contributes not only to emergency response but also to disaster preparedness and mitigation. For example, empirical research in the coastal areas of Pontianak demonstrates that interpersonal trust, active participation in community organizations, and mutual assistance norms enhance the adaptive capacity of communities in responding to tidal flooding. Communities with strong support networks also demonstrate greater capacity to access early warning information and collaboratively develop local mitigation strategies in cooperation with government agencies and non-governmental organizations. These mechanisms contribute to strengthening overall social resilience (Baharuddin, 2023).

Patterns of social capital also encompass social norms and local wisdom, which function as foundations of cultural values in responding to disasters. Cultural values such as mutual assistance, information sharing, and group solidarity represent manifestations of social capital that influence patterns of social interaction during crises. Anthropological research in Aceh, for instance, demonstrates that religious norms and community moral values, which constitute important elements of local social capital, strengthen collective bonds and support joint efforts to mitigate disaster impacts through mutual cooperation and emotional and spiritual support (Kasim et al., 2021).

In the context of the tsunami disaster in Banten, social capital is reflected in the community's ability to establish collective action, although certain challenges remain,

particularly the dependence on external actors for coordination. This study highlights that limited social interaction and weak coordination across different groups can undermine social capital, resulting in suboptimal community resilience, even when social capital at the individual level appears relatively strong (Kurnia & Pandjaitan, 2021).

Furthermore, other studies have expanded the understanding of social capital by demonstrating that interactions between internal community social structures and external networks, particularly linking social capital, also determine the effectiveness of community resilience. Communities that successfully establish connections with government institutions or humanitarian organizations often receive logistical assistance, technical information on disaster mitigation, and post-disaster support more rapidly than communities with limited institutional connections (Bernita, 2024).

Overall, patterns of social capital demonstrate that the synergy among bonding, bridging, and linking social capital plays an important role throughout various phases of disaster management, including preparedness, response, and recovery. Social capital functions as an informal communication network that accelerates information exchange and collective action, while simultaneously serving as a cultural mechanism that strengthens solidarity during crises. Therefore, policy interventions aimed at strengthening community resilience should consider the development of social capital as an integral component of disaster mitigation strategies and local capacity-building initiatives.

C. Implications of Social Capital for Disaster Mitigation and Recovery Strategies

The utilization of social capital plays a strategic role not only in immediate disaster response but also in developing sustainable mitigation and recovery strategies. Communities with high levels of social capital are generally better equipped to formulate structured mitigation measures, including preparedness training, disaster awareness campaigns, local risk mapping, and the establishment of community-based emergency response teams (Purwantiningsih & Puryanto, 2024). Social capital serves as an essential foundation for these initiatives because it strengthens internal coordination among community members, accelerates resource mobilization, and enhances adaptive capacities in responding to dynamic disaster conditions.

Furthermore, social capital supports post-disaster economic and social recovery. Communities with extensive social networks and strong norms of mutual cooperation are better positioned to access external assistance, reconstruct infrastructure, and restore local

economic activities. A study conducted in coastal communities in West Java indicates that social capital accelerates the distribution of logistical assistance and psychosocial support to disaster victims, thereby helping reduce the long-term impacts of disasters (Bernita, 2024).

Social capital also contributes to reducing community vulnerability to future disasters. Internal networks (bonding), relationships across different social groups (bridging), and connections with external institutions (linking) enable communities to adapt more effectively, including through the development of local innovations in risk mitigation and disaster impact reduction strategies (Aldrich & Meyer, 2020). This demonstrates that social capital is not merely a social asset but also an important instrument for sustainable development planning in disaster-prone areas.

D. CONCLUSION

Based on the literature review and discussion, it can be concluded that social capital plays a significant role in strengthening community resilience to natural disasters. The three dimensions of social capital, namely bonding, bridging, and linking, facilitate cooperation among community members, collaboration across different social groups, and connections with external stakeholders, including government agencies and non-governmental organizations. These patterns of social capital utilization have been shown to strengthen community solidarity, facilitate resource mobilization, and enhance the adaptive capacity of community members in responding to disasters more effectively.

In addition to social networks, social trust and social norms constitute essential foundations for strengthening community resilience. Trust among community members fosters a sense of collective responsibility and accelerates decision-making during disasters, while social norms such as gotong royong (mutual cooperation) and active participation support the distribution of assistance, evacuation processes, and post-disaster recovery. Research findings indicate that communities with strong social cohesion are generally more capable of responding rapidly to early warnings and organizing recovery efforts efficiently. Therefore, social trust and social norms can be regarded as important social assets that strengthen the adaptive capacity of communities.

The utilization of social capital also has significant long-term strategic implications for disaster mitigation and recovery. Communities with strong social networks are better equipped to develop mitigation measures, such as disaster awareness programs, the

establishment of emergency response teams, and the preparation of local disaster risk maps, while simultaneously accelerating economic and social recovery. Previous studies indicate that mutual cooperation norms and extensive social networks enhance community resilience, reduce vulnerability to future disasters, and support community-based sustainable development planning.

Overall, this article emphasizes that social capital, social trust, and social norms represent essential assets in strengthening community resilience to natural disasters. Disaster mitigation and recovery strategies that incorporate social capital can improve the effectiveness of community responses, accelerate post-disaster rehabilitation, and reduce long-term disaster risks. Therefore, strengthening social networks, fostering collective norms, and developing interpersonal trust should become priorities in the formulation and implementation of disaster mitigation policies in Indonesia.

E. REFERENCES0iirkyt

E3ESQ1SWFTdsqAldrich, D. P., & Meyer, M. A. (2020). Social capital and community disaster resilience: Post-earthquake tourism recovery on Gili Trawangan, Indonesia. Sustainability Science, 16, 203–220. https://link.springer.com

Astuti, S. I. D., Prihastuti, & Suwarjo. (2017). Social capital and resilience school for disaster mitigation education in Yogyakarta schools. Jurnal Kependidikan: Penelitian Inovasi Pembelajaran. https://journal.uny.ac.id

Baharuddin. (2023). Social capital and community resilience to flooding in Pontianak City. Al-Kharaj: Journal of Islamic Economic and Business. https://ejournal.iainpalopo.ac.id

Bernita, B. (2024). Social networks and risk management: The role of social capital in community resilience in Indonesia. International Journal of Innovation and Thinking. https://ij-it.com

Ferdinanto, F., & Triyono, T. (2025). Psychological social capital in Balerante community in facing Merapi eruption disaster. Psikologia: Jurnal Psikologi. https://psikologia.umsida.ac.id/index.php/psikologia/article/view/1903

Kasim, F. M., Nurdin, A., & Rizwan, M. (2021). Agama, modal sosial dan ketahanan masyarakat dalam menghadapi bencana di Kota Banda Aceh. Jurnal Antropologi: Isu-Isu Sosial Budaya. https://jurnalantropologi.fisip.unand.ac.id

Kurnia, I. A., & Pandjaitan, N. K. (2021). Peranan modal sosial dalam resiliensi komunitas rawan bencana tsunami. Jurnal Sains Komunikasi dan Pengembangan Masyarakat. SKPM E-Journal System.

Mega Oktaviany, et al. (2024). The role of social capital in disaster resilience: A comparative study of urban and rural areas. Indonesian Journal of Studies on Humanities, Social Sciences and Education (IJHSED).

Norzistya, A. D., & Handayani, W. (2025). Modal sosial dalam ketahanan komunitas terhadap bencana banjir di Kelurahan Kemijen dan Krobokan, Kota Semarang. Region: Jurnal Pembangunan Wilayah dan Perencanaan Partisipatif. https://jurnal.uns.ac.id/region/article/view/29694

Purwantiningsih, A., & Puryanto, S. (2024). Social capital and economic resilience of communities affected by the Mount Semeru eruption. Perspektif, 13(4). https://ojs.uma.ac.id/index.php/perspektif/article/download/11891/5841/56195

Purwantiningsih, D., & Puryanto, A. (2024). Social capital for disaster mitigation in Semarang communities. Region: Jurnal Pembangunan Wilayah dan Perencanaan Partisipatif. https://ejournal.uns.ac.id

Putnam, R. D. (2000). Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Sanjaya, W. (2025). The influence of social capital, trust, networks and social norms on community self-efficacy in facing tsunamis. Jurnal Keperawatan Komprehensif.